

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_112346
Title of the Manuscript:	The Scope of Precision medicine in management of type 2 diabetic patients with hypertension.
Type of the Article	Commentary

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. I think the general review of this chapter explains overall point of view for not only scientific community but anybody that has interest in this topic.</p> <p>2. Yes, it is a suitable and understandable title.</p> <p>3. The general definition of Diabetes Mellitus and its global social and economic burden, as well as the importance of personalized treatment in modern medicine, can be emphasized in more attention and detail.</p> <p>4. Yes, it is appropriate, but I would like to see a subsection about reference research examples that explain and highlight the molecular connection between diabetes mellitus and hypertension and the related mechanisms in more detail.</p> <p>5. It was very fluent and understandable. It should contain more comprehensive scientific information. For example, genomic medicine, its applications and clinical developments, nutrigenetics and nutrigenomic preferences in personalized diabetes and hypertension treatments, etc.</p> <p>7. More than one reference research should be cited for the details described under subheadings 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, I think the author wanted that his / her article can be suitable for both scientific community and anyone that has interest in reading about this topic.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I think it is a valuable study. It clearly shows the importance of successfully directing the molecular and clinical changes that follow the journey from health to disease to predict the individual's susceptibility to different diseases and the possibility of contracting them, and offering alternative treatment options. It may be instructive and useful to focus on publications that emphasize the field of personalized medicine.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Leila Mehdizadehtapeh
Department, University & Country	Turkey