

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_109702</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Enhancing Sustainability and Productivity through Integrated Farming Systems: A Comprehensive Review</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b></p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. This manuscript is important for scientific community since it provides fundamentals and concepts of IFS adoption. Once we have a clear idea about the IFS, it will allow to conduct deeper research in the specific areas of IFS such as smart irrigation system and circular economy are important aspects in the IFS.</p> <p>2. The title seems to be fine as per the contents of the manuscript</p> <p>3. Abstract is adequate but would be more informative if few additions are included such as how IFS can mitigate CO2 emissions and inclusions of some of the latest technologies</p> <p>4. Sections and structure are fine with the manuscript but little clarity can be added in the conclusion part.</p> <p>5. Yes, it is fine</p> <p>6. Yes, references are adequate</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION comments</b></p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>More or less fine with the language but further proof read would be better</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General comments</b></p>		

### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></b></p>	<p><b><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	<b>Yeshi Dorji</b>
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	<b>Bhutan</b>