

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_109589
Title of the Manuscript:	Management of Fruit and Shoot Borer, <i>Leucinodes Orbonalis</i> (Guenee) through Sequential Application of Selected Insecticides and Biorationals in Brinjal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the findings will serve as a valuable foundation for further research to explore the recent insecticides molecules along with biorationals for effective management of <i>Leucinodes orbonalis</i>. Moreover, the findings will also assist brinjal growers (farmers) in the strategic selection of novel insecticides in combination with biorationals for effective management of <i>Leucinodes orbonalis</i>.</p> <p>The title is acceptable. However, it could be modified as "Management of Fruit and Shoot Borer, <i>Leucinodes Orbonalis</i> (Guenee) in Brinjal through Sequential Application of Selected Insecticides and Biorationals" for better clarity.</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive but it could be refined further to enhance its clarity.</p> <p>The subsection and structure of the manuscript are acceptable, though some modification might be necessary as suggested in the review process.</p> <p>The manuscript maintains scientific accuracy.</p> <p>The manuscript includes recent references; the addition of 2-3 more recent sources would further enhance its scholarly value.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The language in the article is somewhat appropriate. However, there is scope of improvement.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gunadhya Kumar Upamanya
Department, University & Country	SCS College of Agriculture, Assam Agricultural University, India