

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_110536
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrated Nutrient Management Strategies: Unravelling the Impact of Bio-Fertilizers and Traditional Fertilizers on Soybean (Glycine max) Productivity
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, if updated seriously</p> <p>2. Preferable</p> <p>3. Yes, with some modification</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes, if well modified</p> <p>6. Not sufficient. From the introduction to the result and discussion part needs additional citation(sources) of current finding.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes,	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>General comment;</p> <p>1. Try to list and describe the methods you followed to collect the crop data for each parameter;</p> <p>2. Try to discuss the crop parameters separately based on your mean data presented in table 1.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ plant height (cm), ✓ number of branches, ✓ number of nodules per plant, ✓ number of pods, ✓ pod length (cm), ✓ number of seeds per pod, ✓ seed yield (t/ha), and protein percentage and oil percentage of soybean (<i>Glycine max</i> L.). <p>3. As scholar, your result and discussion were presented well. It was too shallow and difficult to understand the mentioned crop parameters were significantly improved. Discussion lack evidence with other research finding,</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tesfaye Lishan
Department, University & Country	Mizan Tepi University, Ethiopia