

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_111582
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbial Communities and Antimicrobial Resistance Patterns in Aerobic Bacteria Associated with the Vaginal Microbiota: A Retrospective Study in Port Harcourt, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>It should be revised and maintaining the numbers of heading and subheadings.</p> <p>Yes, it is correct after few modifications like spellings checks and proper structuring.</p> <p>Yes, it is sufficient.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, it is suitable.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. In the text the style of reference writing should be Ma <i>et al.</i>, 2022 not Ma <i>et al</i> 2022</p> <p>2. Why author only uses % of data analysis? Why not ANOVA or other correlation study?</p> <p>3. Why author represents only pie chart? Not other types of data presentations like histogram, line diagram etc.</p> <p>4. Should be mention the statistical level of significance changes and data should be analysed by MEAN <math>\pm</math> SEM</p> <p>5. There are no histopathological study and image of high vaginal swab (HVS).</p> <p>6. No image about bacterial growth observation.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sandip Kumar Sinha</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Vidyasagar University, India</b>