

Editor's Comment:

I do not recommend the publication of this paper. It is not a scientific paper, at most it can be considered a case study which still needs major revision.

Reading the revised version of the paper, I noticed that the authors only made some grammar corrections, but they did not take into consideration the reviewers suggestion, like the following:

”

1. The topic/issue covered is good in the macro perspective level. However, its importance in the micro perspective is not established that much. It is recommended to put emphasis on the importance of the study in the Suqian Province.

2. The title can still be simplified or rephrased. The author may consider this title:

“Siquian City's Exporting of Goods: Competitiveness and Survival Analysis amidst Challenges”

3. The abstract of the article contains much grammatical errors that makes it incomprehensible. A good abstract usually contains a brief discussion of the following:

a. Objectives of the Study

b. Methodology

c. Key Results and Findings

d. Highlighted Recommendation

4. It would be appropriate if the discussion is using the inverted pyramid approach from introduction down to literature review. Inverted pyramid means, the discussion will start on the macro perspective, that is, worldwide, continental, country, region down to provincial level.

5. The study lacks proper discussion on methodologies. The research design shall be fully discussed. The data collection techniques and the data analysis or statistical tools used if any must also be discussed completely.

Little to no references were cited in the paper. Although references were provided towards the end, in-text citation was not observed properly. ”

and

”

In order to strengthen the validity of the conducted research and comply with

the requirements set forth by the Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting, we recommend that you eliminate the list of remarks:

1. The title of the article, which is more similar to the title of the subsection, needs correction.
2. The abstract should briefly cover all research results, contain research methods and specific conclusions or substantiated proposals (and predictions).
3. It is worth highlighting the purpose and novelty of the research.
4. It would be advisable to present Table 4 in %, which will increase the clarity and value of the research.
5. The text of the article should contain references to literary sources with pages indicated.

Thus, the article needs revision, which should significantly improve its scientific and practical value.”

Resuming, the title of the paper is not proper for a paper, the contribution is not highlighted, the English language need corrections.etc.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Adina Dornean
Associate Professor, Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iasi, Romania.