

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Rev_JSRR_111852
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploration of Genetic Diversity and Variability in Winged Bean (<i>Psophocarpus tetragonolobus</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The work of of authors is acceptable and important for the scientific community but they have presented the work properly</p> <p>Title is lacking the area from where they are exploring the genetic diversity</p> <p>The objectives are lacking in the abstract</p> <p>To some extent</p> <p>A major revision is needed to make it scientifically correct</p> <p>Recent references are lacking and add more references.</p> <p>I suggest authors to write down the detailed introduction about the background of this work and mention the objective clearly which you want to show in your work. Incorporate recent references by going through the relevant literature. The discussion is lacking only results are shown in the manuscript. Write down the discussion part clearly.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language seems to be poor.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It needs major revision.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Younas Rasheed Tantray
Department, University & Country	Central Ayurveda Research Institute, India