

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_111686
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrated farming systems for environment sustainability – A Comprehensive Review
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important because it provides an overview of the integrated farming systems. A topic critical during this period of dynamics of climatic conditions experienced all over the world. The article offers proposal on productivity, profitability, and sustainability of IFS.</p> <p>The title is suitable and informative.</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive and sufficient to transmit the findings of the review.</p> <p>Subsections and structure are appropriate and within the journal requirement.</p> <p>Yes however, it would have included more specifics about IFS practices by continent.</p> <p>The references are sufficient, though some of them are outdated. A revision of this reference section is required to ensure proper style is adhered to. Authors should eliminate some inconsistencies in the reference section. For example, some years of publication are in bold face, and others are not, why is that the case? In addition, there are some extra spaces between words, which can be easily removed for consistency.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language is appropriate, however, editing will improve the scholarly communication. The author/s should review the quality and captions of the figures in the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The author/s should consider minor revision of this good effort.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kennedy Sean M Kalundu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Namibia, Namibia</b>