

Editor's Comment:

Manuscript title: Integrated farming systems for environment sustainability – A Comprehensive Review.

I think that in general the title of the article is suitable. The abstract of the article is sufficient. Subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate. I think the manuscript as a whole is scientifically correct. Language/English quality of the article is sufficient for scholarly communications. But at the same time, the author did not take into account the reviewers' comments at all and practically did not react to them at all. In the author's comment the answer is Okay. It's not clear what this means? Does the author agree with the comments or not? If you agree, then with what exactly? And why then were the comments of the reviewers in the article not taken into account?

My editorial decision (At stage 3: Final evaluation by Editor/Associate editor/Chief editor): manuscript should be improved; publication of the manuscript in the Journal of Scientific Research and Reports in its current form is impractical.

Editor's Details:

Prof. Aleksandr Nikolaevich Sekisov
Kuban State Agrarian University, Russia and Institute of Construction and Transport Infrastructure, Kuban State Technological University, Russia.