

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_112235</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Modelling the dynamics of soil macroporosity</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The manuscript addresses a significant topic in soil science, specifically the dynamics of soil macroporosity influenced by various factors. Understanding soil structure is crucial for ecosystem services, and the experimental setup provides valuable insights.</p> <p>2. The title effectively conveys the main point of the manuscript. The detailed content of the abstract and the various factors that influence soil macroporosity is in vogue and quite current worldwide research.</p> <p>3. The abstract provides a good overview of the study's objectives, methods, and key findings.</p> <p>4. The subsections and overall structure appear to be logically organized.</p> <p>5. The manuscript appears scientifically sound, with a well-designed experimental setup.</p> <p>6. The references provided in the manuscript cover a diverse range of studies related to soil structure, porosity, earthworm activity, and related topics.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The manuscript is well-structured, with clear subsections delineating different aspects of the study.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Cojocaru Olesea</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Technical University of Moldova, Moldova</b>