

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_112400</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Plants used in the traditional treatment of female infertility in Kita, Mali</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. Potentially significant aspects:            Knowledge preservation: Documenting and sharing traditional medicinal practices like those in Kita, Mali, contributes to preserving vital cultural knowledge and potentially identifying plants with therapeutic properties.            Scientific validation: This study provides a starting point for further research investigating the efficacy and safety of the identified plants for treating female infertility, which could lead to novel therapeutic options.            Complementary medicine: Understanding local approaches to managing infertility can inform culturally appropriate healthcare interventions and collaborations between traditional and modern medicine.</p> <p>2. The title accurately reflects the study's aim and location.</p> <p>3. Well-structured abstract: The abstract follows a logical format, stating the aim, methodology, key results, and conclusion.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure:             The manuscript is well-structured and organized, with clear headings and subheadings. The discussion section could benefit from a clearer organization, perhaps adding limitations of the study, comparison with existing literature, future research directions, and implications for traditional medicine and healthcare practices.</p> <p>5. Scientific accuracy:             Overall, the manuscript appears to be scientifically accurate, with references to relevant literature and appropriate methodology. However, there are a few areas where additional clarification or justification would be helpful. For example, the rationale for the data analysis methods used could be explained in more detail. The limitations of the study, such as the small sample size and potential for selection bias, should be acknowledged and discussed in the limitations section.</p> <p>6. References:             The references are appropriate and up-to-date.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Citation Style: Ensure consistent citation style throughout the paper.            Proofreading: Carefully review the text for typos and grammatical errors.</p> <p>The manuscript has several strengths, including:</p> <p>A well-defined research question and methodology</p> <p>A comprehensive survey that identified a variety of plants used for female infertility treatment</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>Interesting findings about the most commonly used plants, plant parts, and preparation methods</p> <p>Local understandings of associated conditions</p> <p>However, there are also some areas where the manuscript could be improved:</p> <p>The abstract could be clearer and more concise. For example, it could be helpful to define infertility at the outset and to expand on the potential applications of the identified plants.</p> <p>The use of jargon and technical terms could be reduced to make the manuscript more accessible to a wider audience.</p> <p>The survey methods could be described in more detail, including the type of survey, recruitment methods, and sample size.</p> <p>Overall, this is a promising manuscript with valuable insights into the use of plants for female infertility treatment in Kita, Mali. With some revisions, it could be a publishable piece of research.</p>	
--	--	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Iana Malasevskaia</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Yemen</b>