

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_112306
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of Project-Oriented Problem-Based Learning (POPBL) in Manufacturing Product Design for Vocational Higher Education
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it is. The author described the study in details</p> <p>Yes, it is. However, the language of the title should be: “The Effectiveness of Project-Oriented Problem-Based Learning (POPBL) on Manufacturing Product Design for Vocational Training”</p> <p>Yes, the abstract should state clearly why the author used POPBL and should not be too details of the results but the main points of the results. In addition, the research design should be presented here apart from the tools used in this study.</p> <p>- It t is ok to some extent. However, it would be better if the author can employ theoretical foundation to support theory for the design of the current study apart from stating other studies (Literature) regarding this aspect – make it one separare section, show its definitions, features and the relations between POPBL and Vocation Higher Education</p> <p>Yes, it is very scientific as a research paper</p> <p>It is ok but it ned to be standardized like both words, italics, commas, which follows APA format</p> <p>- Research questions of the study should be added at the end of the Introduction or at the beginning of the Method section. -The Discussion part should refer to the research questions and divide it into themes to make it clear (students’ responses and students’ cognitive tests results, ...) -Based on the test results, the author elicited that there was significant difference between the pretest and posttest. However, it would be better if the author can state the criteria/ the level that the results can be considered meeting the effectiveness criteria, which is usually stated in the study. -Some recommendations can be elicited at the end (Conclusions and Recommendations) to provide a clear overview of the study and what other authors can carry another research in this field then.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes, the language is very nice</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nguyen Quynh Thy
Department, University & Country	Ho Chi Minh City University of Social Sciences and Humanities, Ton DucThang University, Vietnam