

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_112306
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of Project-Oriented Problem-Based Learning (POPBL) in Manufacturing Product Design for Vocational Higher Education
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The title of the article is suitable but fails to capture the lack of innovation and limited contribution to the existing body of knowledge. A more accurate title could be "An Evaluation of a Problem-Based Learning Model in Manufacturing Product Design: Limited Impact and Incremental Insights."</p> <p>The abstract is adequately comprehensive in summarizing the study's main components. However, it does not sufficiently highlight the lack of significant contributions or innovative aspects of the research. A more critical and transparent abstract that clearly communicates the limited impact of the study would better serve the readers.</p> <p>While the manuscript has a logical structure, the subsections lack depth and fail to engage the reader effectively. The organization does not compensate for the lack of substantial content. The manuscript could benefit from a more detailed exploration of methodologies and a more critical discussion of the limitations. This would help improve the overall coherence and quality of the manuscript.</p> <p>While the manuscript adheres to basic scientific standards, it lacks depth and fails to engage with relevant literature in a meaningful way. The methodologies, while adequately described, do not provide a significant advancement in the understanding of problem-based learning models. The limited impact on student outcomes in the cognitive tests suggests a need for a more critical evaluation of the model's effectiveness.</p> <p>The references are somewhat sufficient but lack recent and diverse sources. The literature review could be strengthened by incorporating more up-to-date studies and alternative perspectives on problem-based learning. Suggested additions could include recent publications from renowned journals in education and innovative teaching methodologies.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are not suitable for scholarly communication. The manuscript exhibits numerous grammatical errors, awkward phrasing, and inconsistencies throughout. These language issues detract from the clarity and professionalism of the presentation. A thorough language revision is necessary to ensure that the manuscript meets the standard of scholarly communication and is accessible to a wider audience.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In addition to the specific points raised above, it is essential for the authors to pay careful attention to the overall writing style and structure of the manuscript.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khritish Swargiary
Department, University & Country	India