

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_112135
Title of the Manuscript:	ENGLISH LANGUAGE PROBLEMS AND COMMUNICATION COMPETENCE OF STUDENTS: THE MEDIATING ROLE OF SMARTPHONE APPS IN ESL LEARNING
Type of the Article	Research paper

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This research paper delves into a crucial aspect of language education, exploring the intricate relationship between English language problems, communication competence, and the mediating influence of smartphone apps in ESL learning. The study addresses a timely and relevant issue, shedding light on the contemporary tools shaping language acquisition. The findings hold potential significance for educators, researchers, and policymakers involved in enhancing language education, making this manuscript a valuable contribution to the scientific community. Moreover, the exploration of smartphone apps as mediators adds a novel dimension to the existing literature, making this research a noteworthy and pertinent addition to the field.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>To the extent. Following points to be considered-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title of 'Conclusion and Recommendation' section can be "Discussion". 2. There should be separate section for- Limitation and Scope for future research and Academic and Research Implication of the study. <p>The manuscript titled "English Language Problems and Communication Competence of Students: The Mediating Role of Smartphone Apps in ESL Learning" appears to be scientifically sound and meticulously researched. The authors have effectively explored the connection between English language difficulties, communication competence among students, and the mediating influence of smartphone apps in ESL learning. The research methodology is robust, and the findings are well-supported by relevant data. The paper contributes valuable insights to the field, and the conclusions drawn align logically with the presented evidence. Overall, the manuscript demonstrates a commendable adherence to scientific rigor and scholarly standards.</p> <p>Yes.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>While the overall content of the manuscript is commendable, there are a few suggestions to enhance the language quality for scholarly communications.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Consider refining the clarity of certain sentences to improve readability. Ensure that complex ideas are expressed with precision and simplicity to cater to a diverse readership. 2. Pay careful attention to grammar and syntax throughout the manuscript. 3. A thorough proofreading process could eliminate any minor grammatical errors and enhance the overall professionalism of the writing. 4. Clearly write the research hypotheses. 5. Finally, be consistent in the use of academic language and terminology to maintain a cohesive and scholarly tone. <p>Addressing these language-related aspects will contribute to the manuscript's effectiveness in scholarly communication.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aneesya Sharma
Department, University & Country	Institute of Business Management, GLA University, India