

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_111579
Title of the Manuscript:	Health and Infrastructural security of rural households for Sustainable Livelihood: A study in Bundelkhand region of India
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is more of a social science research. However, the study in question involved farmers hence can be considered for publication. It is also responding well to the problem statement.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable.</p> <p>3. Yes. The abstract is comprehensive however if a sentence can be added as a conclusion.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure are appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are too old. The latest is dated 1996. The authors should consider updating the references with recent ones.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language is suitable for scholarly communication with minor corrections. Refer to the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>I found this definition unnecessary. I suggest that it should be removed. <i>“Research design is the entire process of scheduling and carrying out research. [13] defined “Research design as the plan, structure, and strategy of investigation so as to obtain answers to research questions and to control variance”.</i></p> <p><i>“The Bundelkhand region was purposively selected for the study,”</i> There is no reason why the region was selected purposively. Please explain.</p> <p><i>“Analyses were conducted using SPSS software”.</i> Please advise the SPSS version</p> <p>The authors should revise the areas mentioned above especially references.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Eatim Katundu Msiska</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Lilongwe University of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Malawi</b>