

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_108855
Title of the Manuscript:	OUTCOME OF 6 CYCLES OF PRIMARY INTRAVENOUS CHEMOTHERAPY OF RETINOBLASTOMA PATIENTS IN TERMS OF RECURRENCE IN A TERTIARY HEALTH CARE HOSPITAL
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes. As the author did the study among children 6 months to 5 years children, instead of female & male they can be mentioned as girls / boys. In conclusion part also the author mentioned that younger patients, here what the author mean by when they did the study in children,</p> <p>Yes. Methodology should be explained about the research approach, design sampling technique, inclusion & exclusion criteria. Again in Male and female can be changed as girls or boys in results, tables and discussion etc. In Discussion part when you give supportive study, the study's author name, year the title and the number of samples and the result which is supporting should be mentioned, wherever the support study is added in the discussion part.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>References are sufficient. But it will be good if the references are recent studies,.(within 10 years) Kindly if its possible remove the references which are before 2000 year.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The study is extra ordinary and new findings, Novelty present. It's very much needed study / The corrections whichever given, if it's getting corrected, it can be easily published.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, corrects the manuscript and highlights that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Whether consent is taken from the children or their parents as the samples are not adults. It's not mentioned in the study	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Edvina Princy K
Department, University & Country	SRM Trichy College of Nursing, India