

A Practice to Enhance Soil Physico-chemical Properties and Viable Microbial Count as Effected by Organic Nutrient Sources in Iris under Mid Hill Zone of Himachal Pradesh

ABSTRACT

The current study, titled as "Effect of Jeevamrit on soil physico-chemical and microbiological properties of *Iris (Iris orientalis Mill.) cv. Frigia*" was conducted at Experimental Farm of Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, Dr. YS Parmar UHF, Nauni, Solan, H.P. during the year 2019-2020 to determine the ideal Jeevamrit dosage for enhancing the physico-chemical and microbiological characteristics of soil for iris. At intervals of 15 days, sixteen applications of jeevamrit were applied as a foliar spray and drench. Three replications of the field experiment were set up using Randomized Block Design (RBD). The study showed that treatment T₁₆, which involved both 7.5% Jeevamrit drenching and 15.0% Jeevamrit foliar application, had the most positive impact on soil quality. This treatment resulted in the highest organic carbon level (1.48), nitrogen content (362.59 kg/ha), phosphorus content (137.48 kg/ha), potassium content (267.85 kg/ha), and a high count of viable bacteria (75.63×10^6 cfu/g soil), viable fungi count (28.57×10^4 cfu/g soil), and viable actinomycetes count (45.90×10^3 cfu/g soil). Additionally, this treatment had the lowest pH and EC levels.

Key words: Jeevamrit, Microbial count, Organic farming, Soil properties

INTRODUCTION

The 'Green Revolution' was a significant shift in agricultural practices that took place between the 1940s and 1960s. During this period, the application of chemical fertilizers, pesticides, herbicides, and fungicides became the industry standard worldwide. However, the excessive use of these techniques resulted in soil degradation, poor quality production, environmental pollution, and severe health hazards. Inorganic fertilizers can only provide one or two nutrient elements, which is why organic farming is considered an alternative practice to enhance microbial biomass and soil structure. (Naeem *et al.*, 2006; Suresh *et al.*, 2004). Agriculture is the primary source of livelihood for small and marginal farmers in states like Himachal Pradesh. Due to the topographic challenges, these farmers already practice traditional agriculture methods with minimal use of chemical inputs.

Comment [L1]: From my point of view, it would be more interesting to specify the problematic and the objective here

Comment [L2]: this sentence seems very long from my point of view. would it be possible to break it up?

Comment [L3]: It seems to me that this is more of a randomised complete block design (RCBD), as all treatments appear at least once in each block. Also, could you specify the other treatments?

Comment [L4]: would it be possible to have some recommendations and/or perspective at the end?

Comment [L5]: Only? I think we can have more

However, with the steep increase in the cost of inorganic fertilizers, small and marginal farmers find it hard to afford them. To make technology accessible to economically poor farmers, we can adopt the practice of zero-budget natural farming (ZBNF), which is the process of farming with nature and without the use of chemicals. ZBNF replaces inorganic fertilizers with organic manure, making it a sustainable and cost-effective option for farmers. (Naeem *et al.*, 2006). Jeevamrit is a word that comes from two Hindi words: 'Jeevan' which means life and 'Amrit' which means medicinal potion. Earthworm activity and microbial population both significantly increase when Jeevamrit is applied to soil. This, in turn, increase nutrient availability in the soil and fortifies its resistance and resilience mechanisms. As a result, crop productivity is significantly increased (Vashishat *et al.*, 2021; Lazarovits *et al.*, 1997; Sreenivasa *et al.*, 2009). The soil ecosystem's resistance and stability are increased by the elevated microbial population diversity index. (Palekar *et al.*, 2006; Devakumar *et al.*, 2008; Pathak *et al.*, 2013). The rich microbial community of soil microorganisms plays a crucial role in transforming organic matter in soil and acting as a source and sink of nutrients that plants can use. This helps to improve soil fertility and crop yield (Gupta *et al.*, 1988). Applying Jeevamrit to the soil is a great way to enhance the growth of beneficial microorganisms. These microorganisms convert nutrients from an unavailable form to an easily absorbable form, so that plants can absorb them more easily. You can use Jeevamrit by either spraying or sprinkling it on the crop field. It is essential to adopt sustainable agricultural practices based on scientific facts for growing different crops. In this context, a study was carried out in the mid-hill region of Himachal Pradesh to assess the effects of organic nutrient sources on the physico-chemical properties of the soil and the viable microbial count.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The purpose of this study was to investigate the impact of organic nutrient sources on *Iris (Iris orientalis* Mill.) cv. Frigia, soil physical and chemical properties, and viable microbial count. The research was conducted from December 2019 to May 2020 at the Experimental Farm of Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, Dr. YS Parmar UHF, Nauni, Solan, H.P. This experimental site is situated at a latitude of 30°51'0" North and a longitude of 77°11'30" East, at an elevation of 1276 m above mean sea level. The experimental site is in the Himachal Pradesh mid-hill region, which is classified as an agro-climatic zone with moderate rainfall between 1000 and 1300 mm.

Comment [L6]: Are there any previous studies that confirm this assertion? If so, can you mention them or, failing that, justify this assertion?

Comment [L7]: I didn't see any mention of the statistical analyses you carried out, in particular the normality test, the analysis of variance and the separation of means. For me, this is extremely important for assessing the quality and accuracy of the results. Can you specify them?

Comment [L8]: I think the text should be in the past tense

Comment [L9]: Same as the previous comment

The experiment was set up on December 30, 2019, in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) using combination of sixteen treatments with three replications. The treatment combinations were assigned to different plots randomly, and Table 1 presents the details of the treatments.

Comment [L10]: Same as the third comment

For a networking area of 1.00 square meters, beds measuring 1.20 by 1.20 meters were made for the planting of rhizomatous plants. The rhizomatous plants are spaced 20 cm x 30 cm apart. The treatment combinations will be applied at 15-day intervals alternatively in treatments T₈ to T₁₆, as shown in Table 1.

Comment [L11]: I don't understand this expression. Is it the total surface area of the experimental design or the effective surface area? If so, was it only one square meter when the experimental units are 1.2 x 1.2 m?

Table 1: Detail of treatments:

Treatments	Treatment details
T ₁	: Control (Without Jeevamrit)
T ₂	: 2.5% Jeevamritdrenching
T ₃	: 5.0% Jeevamritdrenching
T ₄	: 7.5% Jeevamritdrenching
T ₅	: 5.0% Jeevamritfoliar application
T ₆	: 10.0% Jeevamritfoliar application
T ₇	: 15.0% Jeevamritfoliar application
T ₈	: 2.5% Jeevamritdrenching + 5.0% Jeevamrit foliar application
T ₉	: 2.5% Jeevamritdrenching + 10.0% Jeevamrit foliar application
T ₁₀	: 2.5% Jeevamritdrenching + 15.0% Jeevamrit foliar application
T ₁₁	: 5.0% Jeevamritdrenching + 5.0% Jeevamrit foliar application
T ₁₂	: 5.0% Jeevamrit drenching + 10.0% Jeevamrit foliar application
T ₁₃	: 5.0% Jeevamrit drenching + 15.0% Jeevamrit foliar application
T ₁₄	: 7.5% Jeevamrit drenching + 5.0% Jeevamrit foliar application
T ₁₅	: 7.5% Jeevamrit drenching + 10.0% Jeevamrit foliar application
T ₁₆	: 7.5% Jeevamrit drenching + 15.0% Jeevamrit foliar application

Preparation of Jeevamrit

Jeevamrit was prepared by dissolving the suggested ingredients (Sreenivasa *et al*, 2011).

Table 2. Composition of Jeevamrit

Ingredients	Quantity
Cow dung	10 kg

Cow urine	10 litres
Jaggery	2 kg
Pulse flour	1 kg
Live soil	A handful of soil
Water	200 litres

*Dilution of 5 litres of Jeevamrit in 100 litres of water for 5% foliar spray/drench.

All the ingredients were mixed in a plastic drum, wrapped with a moist jute sack, and then kept in the shade before being used according to the treatment schedule.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The viable microbial count along with various soil physico-chemical property data are shown in Table 3 and Table 4 respectively.

Organic carbon (%)

A noteworthy maximum of 1.48 percent of organic carbon was observed when 7.5% of Jeevamrit was drenched and 15.0% of Jeevamrit foliar was applied (T₁₆). Minimum organic carbon (1.02 %) was noticed with treatment T₁ (Control). The application of liquid manure may have increased the incorporation of organic materials and stimulated root growth, which has resulted in an increase in soil organic carbon. Increased soil organic carbon content could have been the consequence of these compounds' breakdown (Kumari *et al.*, 2019). Applying Jeevamrit may have caused a drop in bulk density, which could be linked to higher levels of organic carbon content (Chandrakala, 2008; Jenny and Malliga, 2016). The findings of Singh *et al.* (2014) and Rai *et al.* (2014) corroborate the results.

pH and EC

The application of 7.5% Jeevamrit drenching + 15.0% Jeevamrit foliar (T₁₆) application resulted in the lowest soil pH (6.58). Soil EC was non significantly affected by the application of Jeevamrit. It is preferable to have lower soil pH and Electrical Conductivity. One possible explanation for the drop in soil pH and EC levels is the organic acids released during the organic manure's breakdown. This might be because applying these manures has increased the permeability of the soil. Rai *et al.* (2014) have similarly noted a reduction in soil EC and pH when Jeevamrit is applied.

Available N (kg/ha)

Comment [L12]: For my part, I think that these results could be explained by the fact that during the decomposition and mineralisation process of these organic products, organic acids and acidifying cations could have been released, with the result that these acids replaced the alkalising exchangeable cations on the adsorbent complex. Hence the increase in acidity and the decrease in electrical conductivity. As far as I'm concerned, the addition of organic matter tends to increase the soil's water retention capacity and therefore reduce its permeability.

It is clear from the information in Table 3 that the application of Jeevamrit influenced the available nitrogen. Maximum nitrogen (362.59 kg/ha) was found in T16 with application of 7.5 % Jeevamrit drenching + 15.0 % Jeevamrit foliar. However, minimum available nitrogen (240.89 kg/ha) was registered with treatment T₁ (Control). The nitrogen content in soil becomes more available when supplemented with organic manures, which increases basal respiration by promoting the growth of microorganisms (Rathore *et al.*, 2023). The gradual release of nutrients through FYM may have contributed to the rise in the pool of accessible nitrogen. Applying jeevamrit increased the rate at which soil microorganisms multiplied and nitrogen-fixing bacteria worked synergistically to convert organic nitrogen to inorganic form. The outcomes align with the findings of Rai *et al.* (2014).

Available P (kg/ha)

Significantly maximum phosphorus (137.48 kg/ha) was recorded with the application of 7.5 % Jeevamrit drenching + 15.0 % Jeevamrit foliar application (T₁₆). Minimum available phosphorus (93.33 kg/ha) was recorded with treatment T₁ (Control). Due to soil microbial activity resulting in the release of organic acids during the decomposition of organic matter, phosphates were eventually solubilized, increasing the phosphorus content of the soil. This could be the reason for the increase in phosphorus availability in the soil as a result of the addition of these manures. Nitika *et al.* (2018) reported similar results.

Available K (kg/ha)

Maximum potassium (267.85 kg/ha) was recorded with the application of 7.5 % Jeevamrit drenching + 15.0 % Jeevamrit foliar application (T₁₆). Whereas minimum available potassium (204.19) was noticed with treatment T₁ (Control). Potassium may have been added directly to the soil through organic manures, and organic matter may have interacted with clay to release K₂O ions that resulted in an increase in the amount of potassium that is available in the soil. Suklabaidya *et al.* (2017) and Prativa and Bhattarai (2012) reported identical results.

Viable microbial count:

Treatment T16 (5% Jeevamrit foliar application + 7.5% Jeevamrit drenching) produced the highest viable bacterial count (75.63×10^6 cfu/g soil), viable fungal count (28.57×10^4 cfu/g soil), and viable actinomycetes count (45.90×10^3 cfu/g soil). The microbial population may have increased as a result of organic inputs (Jeevamrit), which release carbon dioxide (CO₂)

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

during the soil's breakdown process, giving bacteria food and a microenvironment in which to develop and multiply (Subba Rao, 2018). Similar results were recorded by Pathak and Ram (2007); Devakumar *et al.* (2014) and Vemaraju (2014).

Table 3: Effect of Jeevamrit on the soil physico-chemical properties in Iris

Treatments	Organic carbon (%)	pH	Electrical conductivity (dS/m)	N (kg/ha)	P (kg/ha)	K (kg/ha)
T ₁	1.02	6.82	0.41	240.89	93.33	204.19
T ₂	1.15	6.70	0.39	284.55	112.51	223.86
T ₃	1.18	6.65	0.32	301.91	123.29	242.03
T ₄	1.20	6.62	0.28	345.07	129.83	259.16
T ₅	1.06	6.77	0.40	258.67	97.96	211.53
T ₆	1.09	6.74	0.39	268.12	100.97	219.03
T ₇	1.12	6.70	0.38	276.73	104.62	228.26
T ₈	1.19	6.72	0.39	289.01	113.50	226.92
T ₉	1.21	6.71	0.39	294.69	114.40	229.15
T ₁₀	1.24	6.71	0.37	298.16	115.40	231.82
T ₁₁	1.27	6.68	0.34	312.26	125.10	243.82
T ₁₂	1.31	6.66	0.32	320.90	126.43	245.86
T ₁₃	1.35	6.64	0.30	326.08	128.22	248.76
T ₁₄	1.41	6.62	0.95	352.55	132.45	262.26
T ₁₅	1.45	6.60	0.27	358.33	134.61	264.89
T ₁₆	1.48	6.58	0.25	362.59	137.48	267.85
C.D. (0.05)	0.009	0.008	NA	1.837	0.568	1.845

Comment [L13]: It would have been interesting to present the initial characteristics of the soil in order to better appreciate the variations linked to the treatment applied. In addition, a test to separate the means could really help to determine the best and worst performing treatments from a statistical point of view. Finally, an analysis of the chemical composition of these organic products would have been relevant, as it could have justified certain improvements in the chemical properties of the soil.

Table 4: Effect of Jeevamrit on the soil's microbial count (cfu) in Iris

Treatments	Viable bacterial count (×10 ⁶ cfu/g soil)	Viable fungi count (×10 ⁴ cfu/g soil)	Viable actinomycetes count (×10 ³ cfu/g soil)
T ₁	32.40	9.57	30.67
T ₂	41.57	15.33	38.07

T ₃	51.37	21.33	42.70
T ₄	60.83	25.00	44.67
T ₅	30.69	9.07	30.70
T ₆	32.93	9.77	31.20
T ₇	34.83	9.77	32.30
T ₈	58.93	18.93	38.57
T ₉	59.03	19.17	38.70
T ₁₀	59.50	19.23	39.17
T ₁₁	67.33	24.17	43.37
T ₁₂	67.67	24.23	43.47
T ₁₃	68.87	24.93	43.50
T ₁₄	74.07	28.23	45.43
T ₁₅	73.30	28.03	45.33
T ₁₆	75.63	28.57	45.90
C.D. (0.05)	2.07	1.08	0.98

CONCLUSION

After conducting the experiment, it was determined that applying a mixture of 7.5% Jeevamrit drenching and 15.0% Jeevamrit foliar application (T₁₆) at 15-day intervals significantly improved the NPK content, organic carbon, and viable microbial count of Iris plants in the mid-hill zone of Himachal Pradesh. Moreover, the application also resulted in reduced pH and electrical conductivity levels.

REFERENCES

- Chandrakala, M. (2008). Effect of FYM and Fermented Liquid Manures on Yield and Economics of Chilli (*Capsicum annum L.*). *Research Journal of Agricultural Science*, 2(3), 722-724.
- Devakumar, N., Rao, G. G. E., and Shuba, S. E. (2001). Valuation of locally available media for the growth and development of nitrogen-fixing micro-organisms, 28 September - 01 October, in: Proceedings of the 3rd Scientific Conference of ISOFAR Organic Are Life, knowledge for tomorrow, Korea, pp, 504-509.

Devakumar, N., Rao, G. G. E., Shubha, S., Khan, I., Nagaraj, S., and Gowda, S. B. (2008). Organic Farming and Activities of Organic Farming Research Centre. A Bulletin by University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, pp, 18-27.

Devakumar, N., Shubha, S., Gouder, S. B., and Rao, G. G. E. (2014). Microbial analytical studies of traditional organic preparations beejamrutha and jeevamrutha. In: Proceedings of Building Organic Bridges. 4th ISOFAR Scientific Conference, Istanbul, Turkey, 639p.

Gupta, A. P., Antil, S. R., and Narwal P. R. (1988). Effect of farm yard manure on organic carbon, available N and P contents of soil during different periods of wheat growth. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*, 36, 269-273.

Jenny, S., and Malliga, P. (2016). Assessment of different concentrations of organic manure on the growth and yield of *Solanum lycopersicum* L. (Tomato). *International Journal of Innovation Research Science Engineering and Technology*, 5(3), 3722-3731.

Kumari, R., Thakur, K. S., and Lalhruaitluangi, N. (2019). Effect of different organic nutrient sources on soil properties in onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 8(4), 1783-1792.

Lazarovits, G., and Nowak, J. (1997). Rhizobacteria for improvement of plant growth and establishment. *Hortscience*, 32(2), 188-192.

Naeem, M., Iqbal, J., and Bakhsh, M. A. A. 2006. Comparative study of organic fertilizers and organic manures on yield components of Mungbean. *Journal of Agriculture and Social Sciences*, 2(4), 227-239.

Nitika, Thakur, K. S., and Mehta, D. K. (2018). Soil nutrient status, NPK uptake and viable bacterial count as influenced by different organic nutrient sources in European carrot. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 7(8), 1783-1789.

Palekar S. (2006). *Shoonya bando valadanaisargikakrushhi*, in: Swamy Anand, Agri Prakashana, Bangalore, India.

Palekar, S. (2006). *Text book on ShoonyaBandovaladaNaisargikaKrushhi*. Swamy Anand, Agri Prakashana, Bangalore, India, 154p.

Pathak, R. K., and Ram, R. A. (2007). Role of the cow for the evergreen revolution through the integrated organic farming system. In: Proceedings of National Conference on Glory of Gomatha, Dec. 1-3, Sri Venkateswara Veterinary University, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India, pp, 170-177.

Prativa, K. C., and Bhattarai, B. P. (2012). Effect of integrated nutrient management on the growth, yield and soil nutrient status in tomato. *Nepal Journal of Science and Technology*, 12(2011), 23-28.

- Rai, S., Rani, P., Kumar, M., Rai, A. K., and Shahi, S. K. (2014). Effect of integrated use of vermicompost, FYM, PSB and Azotobacter on physico-chemical properties of soil under onion crop. *Environment and Ecology*, 32(4B), 1797-1803.
- Rai, S., Rani, P., Kumar, M., Rai, A. K., and Shahi, S. K. (2014). Effect of integrated use of vermicompost, FYM, PSB and Azotobacter on physico-chemical properties of soil under onion crop. *Environment and Ecology*, 32(4B), 1797-1803.
- Rathore, G., Kaushal, R., Sharma, V., Sharma, G., Chaudhary, S., Dhaliwal, S. S., Alsuhaibani, A. M., Gaber, A., and Hossain, A. (2023). Evaluation of the usefulness of fermented liquid organic formulations and manures for improving the soil fertility and productivity of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Agriculture*, 13(2), 1-14.
- Singh, S. N., Rai, J. P., Singh, S. R., Goyal, S. K., and Singh, S. P. (2014). Effect of integrated use of organic manures and fertilizers on yield, nutrient uptake and soil fertility in onion on red soils of Vindhyan region. *Vegetable Science*, 41, 150-154.
- Sreenivasa, M. N., Nagaraj, M. N. and Bhat, S. N. (2009). Beejamrutha, A source for beneficial bacteria. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 22(5): 1038-1040.
- Sreenivasa, M. N., Naik, N., and Bhat, S. N. (2011). Nutrient status and microbial load of different organic liquid manures. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 24(4), 583-584.
- Suklabaidya, A., Datta, M., and Kandpal, B. K. (2017). Response of organic sources and biofertilizer in soil fertility and yield of cauliflower in the foot hills of Tripura. *International Journal of Agriculture Sciences*, 9(15), 4874-4875.
- Suresh, K. D., Sneh, G., Krishn, K. K., and Mool, C. M. (2004). Microbial carbon and microbial activities of soils receiving chemical fertilizers and organic amendments. *Archives Agronomy and Soil Science*, 5(6), 641-647.
- Vashishat R. K., Sharma S., and Laishram C. (2021). Problems and factors affecting adoption of natural farming in Sirmaur district of Himachal Pradesh, *Indian Journal of Ecology*, 48(3), 944-949.