

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_110938
Title of the Manuscript:	Levels of selected metals in Brassica oleraceae (cabbage), Solanum tuberosum (Irish potatoes) and Daucus carota (carrot) grown at Russau and Lamingo irrigation farms of Jos, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. If we ask the importance of this manuscript to the scientific community, it can be said to be at least important, but one more thing is especially in the field of food science and technology, which will play an important role.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is not suitable and I cannot recommend it because (it is not ethics) the author of this article should write it in a concise and catchy manner according to the current situation.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive</p> <p>4. The clauses and organization of the manuscript are appropriate, well-designed and simple</p> <p>5. This manuscript is scientifically sound</p> <p>6. References are not recent so I suggest author to attach references from last 5 years</p> <p>No need</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language/quality of English of the article is suitable for scholarly communication</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>NII</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Manikandan Dhayalan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Public Health Science, Chulalongkorn University, Thailand</b>