

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_111592
Title of the Manuscript:	POLITICS OF GODFATHERISM AND DEMOCRATIC GOVERNANCE IN NIGERIA: THE RIVERS STATE CASE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Surely, this manuscript is backed by scientific temperament and conscious analysis of the data. All the questions and hypotheses are defined and proved impartially based on the questionnaires and responses. Therefore, this manuscript seems to have an important place in the scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title of the paper is partially suitable, but it can be improved. One of the major aspects of the manuscript is environment. The given title of the author is not reflecting the environment and its impact.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Yes, the subsections are well-arranged and the structure of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>5. Considering the data collection and application of methodology, the manuscript is scientific.</p> <p>6. The references are not enough. The author could add more citation and references available on the topic.</p> <p>Apart from the above comments and clarifications, the paper needs to have a proper theoretical framework. The author has totally missed this part and focused on the data collection and analysis. Taking the deepness of the topic, the concept of Godfatherism and Democracy needs to be backed by theoretical framework.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language quality of this article is suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Subrat Kumar Ratha
Department, University & Country	Central University of Kerala, India