

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_111592
Title of the Manuscript:	POLITICS OF GODFATHERISM AND DEMOCRATIC GOVERNANCE IN NIGERIA: THE RIVERS STATE CASE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It seems important as it shall add in the literature about Godfatherism by exploring in the context of Nigeria 2. Title needs revision as it does not indicate that the study is quantitative, no indication of independent and dependent variables. It looks more qualitative. 3. It is ok 4. If these are aligned with the one prescribed by the journal then fine, otherwise some sections should be explicit like, research questions/hypotheses, discussion etc 5. It seems but needs some attention as both analyses addressing same concern 6. Only six references are latest, rest are old, 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes, it is suitable	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Analysis part needs revision, author is supposed to justify use of inferential statistics if the same findings are always evident from descriptive analysis	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sajid Masood
Department, University & Country	University of Management & Technology, Pakistan