

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_111592
Title of the Manuscript:	POLITICS OF GODFATHERISM AND DEMOCRATIC GOVERNANCE IN NIGERIA: THE RIVERS STATE CASE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The theme of this article is very interesting, and it has the potential to provide an interesting political discussion and dialogue on the subject of godfatherism and democratic governance in Nigeria—the politics of godfatherism and democratic governance in Nigeria with a preference for the Rivers State political crisis.</p> <p>2. The title already explains the content and purpose of the article. The article's title also contains the formal object, material object, and context raised in this research.</p> <p>3. The abstract has also been written comprehensively, the point of the abstract has been shown in detail, and the abstract has also described the contents of the whole article well.</p> <p>4. The manuscript's structure has also been well written per journal templates and standards.</p> <p>5. This manuscript is quite scientific, with a very operational methodology so that the data obtained and presented can be justified.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The language should be revised because some words still cannot be understood.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Overall, this article is well written, but only a few notes should be completed, such as adding references and using language.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Henky Fernando</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Yayasan Irwan Abdullah Cendekia, Indonesia</b>