

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_OR_112241</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Evaluation of the Types and Drug Sensitivity Patterns of Bacterial Isolates from Patients with Eye Discharge at Abia State University Teaching Hospital, Aba, Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Ocular infections can indeed be a debilitating disease in the vulnerable population and understanding the various infectious causes and the initiating appropriate treatment are of paramount importance. Also understanding that the aetiology of the infections can be fungal or viral can prevent unnecessary antibiotic treatment which otherwise will only aggravate the problem of antibiotic resistance.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Corrections have to be made in table 5. The line between S.aureus and CONS is not put correctly. Spelling of Klebsiella pneumoniae to be corrected in the table and the species name mirabilis of Proteus should not start with a capital letter in Table 5.</p> <p>Also, drawing conclusions of the prevalence of bacterial infections according to their occupations may not be entirely accurate as the proportion of the different occupations are varied in this study.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>No</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shereen Rachel Varghese</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Christian Medical College &amp; Hospital, India</b>