

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_111774
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants that Contribute Women to Join in SHGs in Andhra Pradesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The study provides valuable insights into the factors motivating women to join SHGs in Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes but the abstract could be more concise and focus on the key findings.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>References like https://nrlm.gov.in/shgReport.do?methodName=showIntensiveStateWiseReport should be properly formatted and incorporated into the reference list.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Weaknesses:</p> <p>Some phrases could be more precise (e.g., "major factors" could be replaced with a more specific description). There are a few instances of overgeneralization (e.g., conclusions might benefit from acknowledging limitations of the study). The abstract could be more concise and focus on the key findings. References from older sources could be updated with newer research, if available. However, these are minor weaknesses that can be easily addressed with some editing and revision. Overall, the language and English quality of the article are good enough for submission to a scholarly journal.</p> <p>Here are some specific suggestions for improvement:</p> <p>Use active voice whenever possible. Avoid colloquialisms and jargon. Proofread carefully for typos and grammatical errors. Ensure all references are formatted correctly and consistently. With some minor improvements, the language and English quality of the article would be excellent for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall:</p> <p>The article presents a valuable contribution to the understanding of factors influencing women's participation in SHGs. However, addressing the weaknesses mentioned above could strengthen the manuscript and make the findings more impactful.</p> <p>Additional Suggestions:</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	The abstract could be more concise and focus on the key findings. Consider elaborating on the policy implications of the research and how the identified factors can be leveraged to increase women's participation in SHGs. Enhance the discussion by acknowledging limitations and addressing potential alternative explanations for the findings.	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gudata Abara Chali
Department, University & Country	College of Business and Economics, Wallaga University, Ethiopia