

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_112105</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Efficacy of novel fungicides against the Fusariumoxysporum f.sp. cubense causing Panama wilt of Banana</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1- The disease is important, but there are deficiencies in the project</p> <p>2- The title is suitable</p> <p>3- Yes the abstract of the article is comprehensive</p> <p>4-No</p> <p>5- Generally yes</p> <p>6-Yes there are</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ The keywords are not appropriate</li> <li>✓ The author should write, what is the aim of this study and should give some examples similar to their study in introduction.</li> <li>✓ The morphological and molecular identification of Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. cubense (Foc) should have been done by the author</li> <li>✓ The author should have been done to Koch postulate of Foc</li> <li>✓ Results shows are not suitable.</li> <li>- Discussion is not enough.</li> <li>✓ The article is written very carelessly.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

## Review Form 1.7

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Işılav Lavkor</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Biological Control Research Institute, Turkey</b>