

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_111695
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comprehensive Review of the Impact of Climate Change on Fruit Yield and Quality in Modern Horticultural Practices.
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This article summarizes climate change influence on Indian's fruit industry and horticultural adaptations. Its introduction to the direct and indirect impacts are important for the understanding of agricultural aspects included under this global issue. However, the author(s) need to specify how Indian's fruit production differs from other regions of the world, hence a review would be made necessary. 2. The geographic focus of this article is the Indian Subcontinent, which should be specified in the title. 3. As the author(s) mentioned in the text, only the main and economically important crops were included, with some minority climatic regions and regionally important crops also excluded. Thus, the review could hardly be counted as "exhaustive" due to the geographical limitations. 4. The structure of the manuscript is unclear, with repeated descriptions (e.g. climate change and its regional/international influence on agriculture) found in different sections. Redundancy should be avoided. 5. The exact methods used in the Methods are unclear and non-repeatable by other researchers. For example, did the author(s) use search engine and key words to obtain literatures, if so, what were they? How were reputable journal defined? 6. Source of the information included in Table 1 will need to be specified. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>In overall, the article uses fluent English language which conveys the message. Further proof-reading is needed.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ran Dai
Department, University & Country	China