

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_111910</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>OVERVIEW OF SOIL XENOBIOTICS AND THEIR BIOLOGICAL REMEDIATION STRATEGIES</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 1.7

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>(1) The manuscript is important for scientific community. The manuscript was able to highlight important areas on xenobiotic, its effects and remediation techniques.</b></p> <p><b>(2) The title is suitable</b></p> <p><b>(3) Since it is an overview, the title is comprehensive</b></p> <p><b>(4) yes, appropriate</b></p> <p><b>(5) yes scientifically correct</b></p> <p><b>References are sufficient, but references are needed for introduction section of the manuscript. Tables should be properly titled and numbered.</b></p>	

## Review Form 1.7

<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English language quality is suitable for scholarly communication.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Some of the references did not follow the standard. A few inconsistencies in italicising of journals or books.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Vincent Chinedu Wokem</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria</b>