

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CA_111739</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Mimicking Acute Coronary Syndrome: Cardiac Thyrotoxicosis - A Rare Case Report</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it presents a rare case involving the complex interplay of cardiomyopathy, dilated cardiomyopathy, and symptoms resembling acute coronary syndrome. The detailed discussion and multidisciplinary approach contribute valuable insights into managing such intricate clinical scenarios.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the case, including relevant clinical details, diagnostic challenges, and the multidisciplinary management approach. It effectively summarizes the key findings and implications.</p> <p>4. The manuscript's subsections and structure are appropriate, guiding the reader through the case presentation, discussion, and relevant literature. This organization enhances clarity and facilitates understanding.</p> <p>5. The manuscript appears scientifically correct, aligning with existing literature on the rare manifestation of dilated cardiomyopathy associated with cardiomyopathy. The diagnostic and treatment considerations are well-supported by the presented case details.</p> <p>6. The references are appropriate, but it's advisable to ensure they include recent studies and guidelines. If needed, consider incorporating recent publications to strengthen the manuscript's foundation and relevance</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	I think the language quality is suitable	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Saleh Sadeghi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Qom University of Medical Sciences, Iran</b>