

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_111966
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARISON OF GLYCATED ALBUMIN LEVELS AMONG WOMEN WITH GESTATIONAL DIABETES MELLITUS AND WOMEN WITHOUT GESTATIONAL DIABETES MELLITUS
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Modify the title and write the type of study in the title - In the abstract, the introduction is too long and it is better to write a shorter sentence about the topic - In the methodology section, write how to conduct the study and explain the study tool in more detail - Explain the work method in the abstract - In the abstract, the conclusion is very short and concise, it should be written in a practical way - Match the keywords to the mesh - Modify the introduction of the study and pay more attention to the importance of the subject - Regarding the necessity of the study, show the reason for doing this study - In the introduction, review the texts of other similar articles, and if similar studies have been conducted, show exactly what part they did not cover, and now you have covered it in this study. - Modify the work method - How to choose samples and how sampling was done - How the data was collected - What was the data collection tool? - What content does this tool measure and how many questions are there? - The criteria for entering and exiting the study should be written - The results are also summarized, you must write a description for each part of the results - Modify the study topic - First, write the main result of the study, then make a thesis with similar studies - Foreign studies and more comparisons should be used - Write the limitations of the study - The applications of this study should be written - Write down the strengths and weaknesses - The sources should be modified and used from the last 4 years and relevant 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>After the corrections, the article should be corrected and strengthened in terms of language writing</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fatemeh Darabi
Department, University & Country	Asadabad School of Medical Sciences, Iran