

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_111898
Title of the Manuscript:	Review on the Book Entitled Syntactic Disambiguation of Modern Chinese with Experimental Prosodic
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) YES</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)YES</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?YES</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?YES</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?YES</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.YES</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>REVIEW-MAJOR REVISIONS Report: Very interesting the and important report about the subject of the manuscript. This subject and profession sector were often investigated. I suggest some corrections and constructions of the manuscript that I believe make and give more details and a stronger presentation and how scientific well done have conducted. 2) The body of manuscript needs specific sections (a) Introduction with state of art at the end clear refer the study objectives, Hypothesis, and Aims of study, b) Methods , methodology, research tools , statistical package, questionnaire, sampling proceeds , etc., ,). Need more reference and literature reviews') Results with appropriate methods of presenting with tables and histograms, figures, etc. 3) Must have need for more references in introduction and discussion section missing . 4) Give clear the type of study, must rewrite with proper methodology, tools that used specific named sampling processed, for example if choosing to classificatory as review article must use tools and constriction of this type of study.</p> <p>WITH REGARDS The Reviewer</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?YES</p>	YES	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	REVIEW-MAJOR REVISIONS	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ioannis Adamopoulos
Department, University & Country	School of Science, EUC, Greece