

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_111626
Title of the Manuscript:	Use of Bell Ringers in Promoting Students' Class Performance
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The study comes up with an interesting concept but it needs to be backed with data. Where has this approach being used elsewhere in the world? Where has it worked? In the Philippines the researcher needs to provide data to show that there is a problem with academic performance so that bell ringers come in as the solution.</p> <p>The title is suitable</p> <p>The abstract needs to begin by highlighting the problem. Is really the design quantitative as written? The objectives of the study are missing. The target and sample are not indicated There needs to be a section on statement of the problem before statement of objectives and research questions</p> <p>More effort needs to be put in as mentioned above</p> <p>Around 50%of the references are more than 5 years old (2006-2012)</p> <p>More effort needs to be put in problem identification and experiences of other countries Correlation has been mentioned in analysis but no correlation statistics have been provided</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The researcher needs to proof read the work. There are spelling errors</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is not clear how many respondents participated in this study</p> <p>The study results should be analysed according to research objectives and research questions</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rebecca Mwikali Wambua
Department, University & Country	Africa Nazarene University, Kenya