

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_111626
Title of the Manuscript:	Use of Bell Ringers in Promoting Students' Class Performance
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community because formulating ways of improving instruction is an ongoing and necessary process.</p> <p>The title of the article can be amended, use this title instead: <i>The use of bell ringers in improving students' academic performance.</i></p> <p>The abstract is suitable; however, there is no need for headings within the abstract.</p> <p>The keywords should include: academic performance; formative assessment; classroom management; student engagement</p> <p>The researcher should include a section for research objectives; also another section for recommendations, where the researcher can indicate what can be done to incorporate bell ringers as part of the pedagogical practices in training institutions.</p> <p>Yes, it is, but some improvement is necessary.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent.</p> <p>Introduction: the researcher should properly define the term "bell ringers"; describe how bell ringers work. Also, define the term formative assessment.</p> <p>The researcher should put in a section on the research objectives.</p> <p>The "Material and Methods" section should be renamed "Methodology and Procedures". The researcher should explain that this research is an action research; briefly describe action research and say why it was chosen. Also briefly state the type of sampling used and why; the data collection instrument and data analysis strategy.</p> <p>The researcher needs to cite previous studies where bell ringers were used.</p> <p>In the "conclusion" the researcher should reword the first line: the study DOES NOT "unequivocally" establish a positive correlation between students regularly engaging in bell ringer activities and their commendable performance in classes. The results really show that the intervention used in this action research helped the participants in this study improve their academic performance.</p> <p>The researcher did not indicate in the methodology that there was a control group; so in line 3 of the conclusion the term non-participants should be removed.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language quality is suitable.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments	A promising research paper.	
----------------------------------	-----------------------------	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Linda Superville
Department, University & Country	Trinidad & Tobago