

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_112172
Title of the Manuscript:	Economics of Mundu Chilli cultivation in Ramanathapuram district of Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community because it highlighted various geographical locations where Mundu Chilli can be grown in Indian .In addition , it also examined all the processes involved in chill farming ,marketing and distributions .Again ,it emphasize the contribution of chill product to Indian economy.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable.</p> <p>3. (a)The abstract does not indicates type of data used, collection and analysis. (b) Recommendations is also not found in the abstract.</p> <p>4. Yes but only few observation like "In Tamil Nadu chilli is being cultivated as rainfed and irrigated conditions in may instead of many of the district"</p> <p>5. The manuscript is assumed to be scientifically correct if the researcher actually carried out the research by following all the laid down rules.</p> <p>6. References are sufficient but need to be edited for currency.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	YES	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Some of the references are old and those old references should be replaced with current ones. Also, the pattern should be consistent with APA six or seven edition.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raheem, Adio Jimoh
Department, University & Country	Phoenix University, Nigeria