

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_112172
Title of the Manuscript:	Economics of Mundu Chilli cultivation in Ramanathapuram district of Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, It is of general interest to know their primary activity and the most important ones, even to know which market may be good to develop.</p> <p>2. Yes. is related to the topic.</p> <p>3. Yes, It covers important aspects that make it attractive to continue reading.</p> <p>4. It can be improved, there are some repetitive paragraphs in which it would be better to restructure it to make the topic more fluid.</p> <p>5. Yes, but It is necessary to clarify where all that information comes from.</p> <p>6. I would like the citations to be more recent, you could start with 2019, and you can also cite more paragraphs of the text, especially when a percentage is stated.</p> <p>The information in the text is good, it is fluid and is related at all times, however, it is necessary to adapt some paragraphs and not omit citations. It is also important to emphasize how I get this data from the region.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	N.A	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alejandra Holguin Avila
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autónoma de Ciudad Juarez, Mexico