

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_110981
Title of the Manuscript:	POTENTIAL CONTRIBUTION OF EXPOSURE TO INSECTICIDE USED IN NIGERIA TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF DYSLIPIDAEMIA AND HORMONAL DISORDER IN MALE AND FEMALE ALBINO WISTER RATS
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Formatting is also not as per the manuscript guidelines.</p> <p>4. Yeah (instead of giving the reference at method sections, would have mentioned the assay procedure for clear understanding)</p> <p>5. References are ok, more also its better.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English language ok, if provides better language is also appreciable.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall the topic is ok. Highlights given in the article In Title they have mentioned Male and female, but in the abstract it posted only male rats.</p> <p>Hence please look in to it.</p> <p>Methods: total 40 rats were used, but in abstract it is showing only 30 rats. Required corrections in abstract and in the methods also please clarify the how many groups and how many animals in one group.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anitha Kakkerla
Department, University & Country	Osmania University, India