

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_104831
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of different genotypes of Ridge gourd for better growth, yield and quality under Prayagraj Agro-climatic conditions.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1.- Yes.</p> <p>2.- Yes.</p> <p>3.- Yes.</p> <p>4.- The structure is correct. The titles are correct. Introduction, materials and methods, results, and conclusions. Abbreviations should be explained or placed on a table. Correct the titles, if they are numbered, number them all.</p> <p>5.- Tables must be referenced in the text, where they are listed or explained. The content in the tables must be corrected, it must be placed on the same line, not place a decimal on the next line. The title of the tables must be uniform, all justified, or all centered, it cannot be one of each type.</p> <p>6.- It is advisable that the references are not older than 8-10 years. Except for one or two if their use is extremely important. It is suggested to make a bibliographic review of the studies published in the last 10 years and update the references in the text. Some more reference could be advised. Some more reference could be added, up to 40.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>- Typographical errors to be corrected. Spaces after periods, hyphens, separations...</p> <p>- It would be interesting to give some more data in the conclusion, more information about the result obtained. Explain a little more the good results obtained.</p> <p>- As a tip, you can add a section, Analysis of the limitations of the study.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Noé Anes García
Department, University & Country	University Oviedo, Spain