

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_104831
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of different genotypes of Ridge gourd for better growth, yield and quality under Prayagraj Agro-climatic conditions.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Ridge gourd has demonstrated several medicinal properties, such as protecting the liver, managing diabetes, acting as an antioxidant, inducing abortion, and exhibiting antifungal effects. Ridge gourd adapts well to soils with a pH ranging from 6.0 to 7.0, regardless of soil type, from sandy to heavy clay. Given its adaptability and widespread cultivation in India, the study aimed to evaluate different ridge gourd varieties under Prayagraj's agro-climatic conditions, comparing hybrid varieties with local ones.</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article is suitable</p> <p>Yes, abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>In manuscript, one reference year is missing. While quote the reference in text, the et al should be in italics.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Discussion part is very meagre, improve it with some latest references.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. Ananthi
Department, University & Country	Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India