

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Advances in Nephrology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJANR_111517
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of creatinine and urea in individuals with various ABO blood types at Madonna University
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>		
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In this study, the authors assessed the correlation between creatinine and urea concentrations with the ABO blood group in one hundred and seventy-eight students at Madonna University.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The study design is weak, how were the people selected for the study? - The study population is very small (178), Why did you include 178 students in the study? - Did the blood group determine based on antigens or antibodies? - The legends of tables are not written according to the scientific papers and should be rewritten - Reporting the frequency of blood groups in the studied population, in the studied city, and also in the population of kidney patients such as dialysis patients and comparing them with healthy populations can increase the strength of the study. - With this limited study, the recommendation written in the conclusion cannot be written. - The manuscript needs to be checked for spelling and grammar <p>In Sum, this manuscript can be accepted as a brief report after applying the comments.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ebrahim Miri Moghaddam
Department, University & Country	Birjand University of Medical Science, Iran