

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_111492
Title of the Manuscript:	Is there an increased risk of Breast Cancer in Night Shift Workers?
Type of the Article	Minireview

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1 The subject of the manuscript is interesting for the scientific community. 2 Yes, the title of the article is suitable. 3 The abstract is comprehensive and well-written. 4 Yes, they are. 5 No, this article does not reach the level of a review, mini-review or scientific article, perhaps a high school report. 6 No, seven of the paragraphs in the Discussion section, which contains all of the suggested potential mechanisms by which night shifts influence breast cancer risk, do not have a single citation</p> <p>This article does not reach the level of a review, mini-review or scientific article, perhaps a high school report. As mentioned above, the discussion of the most important issues does not have a single quote. Furthermore, the discussion does not return to the articles they are supposedly reviewing.</p>	i
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	English is fine	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Luis Orlando Pérez
Department, University & Country	Centro Nacional Patagónico, Argentina