

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_111741
Title of the Manuscript:	DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT OF A SCREW JACK: AN INPUT REPAIR TOOL FOR LIGHT VEHICLES
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript provides insight of the project study the design and development of a Screw Jack and helpful to the light vehicle drivers and address their problems.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No, references are not sufficient, many of the references are missing, (e.g. Reed 2023, Shigley 2014 etc.) from the reference lists.</p> <p>Additional suggestions/comments</p> <p>The conclusions are not sound or strongly supported.</p> <p>The line used in the manuscript, "The device is designed to fit any light vehicle, capacity of the jack is to be discussed, it should be in tabular format according to the sizes of the Jack.</p> <p>Some of the abbreviations are used in the manuscript, but not defined anywhere in the manuscript, e.g. steel SAE 1095 etc.</p> <p>Please clarify the differences between your work and the works you listed in the literature review. highlight, what is new in your work?</p> <p>The limitations and future scope of the research is to be highlighted.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript should be double-checked for technical and English grammar errors in general.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sumeet Mahajan
Department, University & Country	India