

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_111445
Title of the Manuscript:	Meta-analysis of the Aspects of Student Thinking Creativity in Learning Project Based Learning (PjBL) to Improve Learning Outcomes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The idea of the research is relevant to current research trends.</p> <p>The title of the study needs modification, as mentioned in section of methodology, the questionnaire was used to collect data, so it can not be entitled as meta analysis, it is simple survey research, change of title is strongly recommended.</p> <p>The article is well articulated, however at some points while presenting arguments in favour of hypothesis, coherence of references and ideas is missing.</p> <p>This is a good article with all dimensions of a research paper covered. However, the re-organization of part of methodology, explaining clearly sample, population, instrumentation and piloting may help reader to understand the concept clearly. At some points, based on design used, the control group is mentioned, so clear presentation will reduce the miss perception during reading.</p> <p>The references are current and well articulated.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>Language is okay.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The discussion part need references from literature supporting the findings of the study.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Fariha Gul</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Management and Technology, Pakistan</b>