

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_112007
Title of the Manuscript:	Production Efficiency of Fodder Crops in Karnataka: An Economic Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The article under review discusses, The study was conducted in all four tax divisions of Karnataka by selecting one district from each tax division.</p> <p>The authors consistently identify a wide range of problems; a purposive sampling technique was used. A structured survey of 240 respondents showed that according to a pre-tested interview schedule, Khasan district was found to have the highest costs of growing both fodder sorghum and fodder bajra.</p> <p>Findings are detailed, with greater awareness of the need to grow green fodder on their farms to provide better feed for their animals as a result of changes in crops and crop management practices driven by market forces.</p> <p>The title of the article under review fully corresponds to the topic and content. The structure of the article is consistent, the text highlights: the purpose of the work, research methods, results and discussion, conclusions. The illustrations complement the presented material and are readable and understandable. The structure of the text meets the requirements for international publications.</p> <p>The abstract contains valuable recommendations for keeping livestock, health and nutrition are mainly related to the available and provided feed, leading to a healthy life. The article is recommended for publication in the form in which the authors submitted it.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K.K. Sattarov
Department, University & Country	Gulistan State University, Uzbekistan