

**Socio-economic Correlates of Intestinal Helminthiasis Infestation in Children with Human Immunodeficiency Virus presenting in The University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital, Ituku-Ozalla, Enugu.**

**Comment [i-[1]]:** It should be small letter t

## **Abstract**

**Background:** Children infected with Human Immunodeficiency virus (HIV) may be more prone to helminthic infestation and this may be modified by their socio-demographic and hygiene related variables.

**Objectives:** This study was aimed at eliciting the various socioeconomic correlates that affect helminth infections among children with HIV and compare it with their normal counterpart who had no HIV.

**Methods:** A cross-sectional study where a total of 70 HIV infected children were consecutively recruited from the Paediatric HIV clinic and matched for age and sex with 70 children without HIV infection.

**Comment [i-[2]]:** Please indicate the study time taken to conduct this Cross sectional study

**Results:** Socio-economic class, area of residence, hygienic practices such as method of fecal disposal, hand washing practices and foot wear practices were significantly association with helminthic infestation at the bivariate level of analysis ( $p < 0.05$ ). Using bivariate analysis, of the independent variables that were significant at the bivariate analysis, only lower socio-economic class was a independent predictor of helminthic infestation (AOR = 6.403, 95% CI: 1.303 to 31.469)

## **Conclusion**

Socio-demographic and hygiene related risk factors are similar in HIV-positive and negative children. However, lower socioeconomic status is an independent predictor of helminthic intestinal infestation after controlling for potential confounders

**Keywords:** Socio-demographic; helminthic intestinal infestation; HIV; Enugu

**Comment [i-[3]:** Put in alphabet order

## Introduction

Intestinal helminthiasis [IH] affects all age groups, though children are predominantly affected. [1] Among these children, the pre-school and school aged children are at highest risk of severe morbidity from the disease.[1] Over 267 million preschool-age children and 600 million school-age children are infested with intestinal millionths worldwide. [2] Important determinants in epidemiology and transmission of IH are climate, poverty, inadequate water supply, poor sanitation and poor personal hygiene especially shoe wearing and hand washing. [3,4]

**Comment [i-[4]:** Is that Helminthiasis or millionths?

The World Health Organization has noted helminthiasis as one of the neglected tropical diseases with over 2 billion (24%) of the world's population and are endemic in the poor socioeconomic zones in tropical and subtropical climatic regions across East Asia. Several socioeconomic and geographical factors have been implicated in helminthiasis. These include poverty, unsanitary conditions, lack of clean water supply, and climatic changes. [5] More than 38 million people globally are infected with HIV, and most of them live in low and middle income countries with poor socioeconomic status. [6-8]. It is pertinent to note that both HIV and helminth infections are highly interwoven in terms of manner of transmission and there is even a co-infection between them.

Okay [9] et al has noted that improving mothers' education, a vital index of socioeconomic factor, has a positive impact on reducing helminth infestation in children. They noted this

factor as the cause of a low prevalence of helminth infestation obtained in their various studies. It is interesting to note that worldwide, helminth infestation is noted as diseases of poverty with poor hygiene and environment. [10] This work was therefore aimed at eliciting the various socioeconomic correlates that affect helminth infections among children with HIV and compare it with their normal counterpart who had no HIV.

## **Methods**

### **Study area**

This study was carried out in Enugu, at the University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital (UNTH), Many health facilities (primary, secondary, tertiary) exist in the state of which UNTH is the largest and serve as a referral centre.

### **Study sites**

The study was conducted at the paediatric HIV clinic of UNTH. The clinic provides trained personnel for children infected with HIV. The Paediatric section of the clinic caters for both the HIV-exposed and HIV-infected children. Controls were recruited from apparently healthy children who attended the outpatient clinic for check-up or minor illnesses

### **Study design**

This was a comparative, cross sectional study which involved whereby children infected and uninfected with HIV were enrolled consecutively.

### **Sample Size determination**

The minimum sample size for this study was calculated using the standard statistical formula for sample size calculation comparing difference in proportions (equal sized groups) in a

finite population. 70 subjects and 70 controls were enrolled into the study, giving a total sample size of 140.

### **Study Population**

**Subjects:** These were HIV positive children aged 18 months to 18 years who attended the Paediatric HIV clinic at the UNTH Ituku-Ozalla, Enugu.

**Controls:** These were HIV negative and apparently healthy children who were attending the paediatrics out-patient clinic on routine follow-up basis.

Children aged 18 months –18 years who were enrolled at the Paediatric HIV Clinic of UNTH Ituku-Ozalla, children who were confirmed to be HIV infected through HIV antibody or DNA polymerase chain reaction (PCR) tests. Children who had not taken anti-helminthic medication in the past three months prior to the study, children with HIV who gave their assent or whose care-givers gave their consent for the study were included in the study while children aged less than 18 months, chronically ill children such as those with malignancies were excluded from the study.

### **Ethical approval and consent**

Ethical clearance from the Health Research and Ethics Committee of UNTH, Enugu was obtained before commencement of the study. Signed informed consent/assent was obtained from the parents/guardians/participant following an explanation both verbally and in writing, of the purpose of the study, the technique used, the benefits and risks and the steps to be taken if anything abnormal was found. Subjects who met the inclusion criteria were enrolled consecutively on clinic days until the desired sample size was obtained. HIV negative children were selected from those who present to the children out-patient clinic for acute illnesses or medical examinations (such as medical certificate of fitness). The participants

were recruited consecutively by the researcher alone at a rate of ten per week till the required number for each group was filled. Parents socio-economic classification of the subjects and controls were obtained using the social classification of Oyedeji.[11] In this classification, the educational level and the occupation of the caregivers was scored and the average of these scores, to the nearest whole number noted. Each parent was scored separately by finding the average score of the two factors (occupational status and educational attainment) in the social classification. The mean of the scores for the father and mother approximated to the nearest whole number was chosen as the social class of the child.

### **Data management and analysis**

Analysis of the results was done using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (IBM-SPSS), version 19. Descriptive analysis was used to compare the sociodemographic distribution of the subjects. Logistic regression was used to test for the strength of relationship between socioeconomic variables and **intestinal** helminthiasis and HIV status. Chi square and fisher's exact were used to test for association between categorical variables.


**Comment [i-5]:** Correct it as intestinal

### **Results**

#### ***Socio-demographic characteristics of the study participants***

The socio-demographic characteristics of the study participants is shown in table I. The table shows that the two groups (i.e., HIV-infected and HIV-negative children) were similar in age and gender ( $p = 1.00$ ). Also, the distribution according to socio-economic status showed no significant difference ( $p = 0.057$ ).

UNDER PEER REVIEW



**Table I: Socio-demographic characteristics of the study participants**

	HIV Status		$\chi^2$	p-value
	Positive	Negative		
	n (%)	n (%)		
<b><u>Median Age in mths</u></b>	120.00 (123.00)	120.00 (123.00)	0.000*	1.000
<b><u>(IQR)</u></b>				
<b>Age group in mths</b>				
18 – 59	17 (24.30)	17 (24.30)	0.000	1.000
60 – 119	17 (24.30)	17 (24.30)		
120 – 179	18 (25.70)	18 (25.70)		
180 – 216	18 (25.70)	18 (25.70)		
<b>Sex</b>				
Male	38 (54.30)	38 (54.30)	0.000	1.000
Female	32 (45.70)	32 (45.70)		
<b>Socioeconomic class</b>				
Lower	47 (67.10)	36 (51.40)	5.737	0.057
Middle	11 (15.70)	23 (32.90)		
Upper	12 (17.10)	11 (15.70)		

\*=*Mann-Whitney U-test. Mths=Months. IQR = Interquartile Range*

***Hygiene-related and socio-demographic risk factors associated with intestinal helminthic infestation in HIV-positive and HIV-negative children***

The hygiene-related and socio-demographic risk factors associated with intestinal helminthic infestation are shown in table II. Age, gender, social class and residential area distributions were similar between infested HIV-positive and HIV-negative children ( $p > 0.05$  in all cases). Also, hygiene-related practices were similar among children infested with helminthes irrespective of HIV status ( $p > 0.05$  in all cases).

**Table II: Hygiene-related and socio-demographic factors associated with presence of intestinal helminthic infestation in the participants.**

Variables	HIV-Status		p-value
	HIV-Positive n (%)	HIV-Negative n (%)	
<b>Sociodemographic</b>			
Age (months)	1(5.30)	2(22.22)	0.595*
18-59	9(47.40)	3(33.33)	
60-119	6(31.60)	3(33.33)	
120-179	3(15.70)	1(11.11)	
180-216			
<b>Gender</b>			
Female	10(52.6)	3(33.3)	0.435*
Male	9(47.4)	6(66.7)	
<b>Social Class</b>			
Upper/Middle	2(10.50)	0(0.00)	1.000*
Lower	17(89.50)	9(100.00)	

Area of Residence			
Rural	10(52.60)	6(66.70)	0.435*
Urban	9(47.40)	3(33.30)	
Hygiene-related			
Use of footwear	15(78.90)	7(77.80)	1.000*
Uses always	4(21.10)	2(22.20)	
None or rarely use			
Source of drinking water			
Underground water + Sachet water	8(42.10)	5(55.60)	0.846*
Shallow water	9(47.40)	3(33.30)	
Rain water	2(10.50)	1(11.10)	
Boil drinking water			
Always	0(0.00)	0(0.00)	NA
None or rarely	19(100.00)	9(100.00)	

\*Fisher's Exact Test, NA=Not applicable, Underground water source include well, pipe-borne, sachet and bore-hole water, shallow water source include stream.

UNDER

***Socio-demographic and hygiene-related risk factors associated with intestinal helminthic infestation in HIV-positive and HIV-negative children***

As already demonstrated in table III, the distribution of sociodemographic and hygiene-related variables among children with helminthic infestation was similar between HIV-infected and non-infected children. Thus, both groups were combined in table IV for the purpose of testing those variables as potential risk factors for infestation. Socio-economic class, area of residence, hygienic practices such as method of faecal disposal, hand washing practices and foot wear practices were significantly association with helminthic infestation at the bivariate level of analysis ( $p < 0.05$ ).

**Table III: Socio-demographic and hygiene-related risk factors associated with intestinal helminthic infestation among the study participants.**

Variables	N	Intestinal Helminthiasis		Test stat	p-value
		Infested n (%)	Not infested n (%)		
<b>Median Age in months (IQR)</b>	140	108.0 (84.0)	120.0 (132.0)	$U=1544.0$	0.900
<b>Gender</b>				$\chi^2=0.007$	0.932
Male	76	15 (53.6)	61 (54.5)		
Female	64	13 (46.4)	51 (45.5)		
<b>Socio-economic Status</b>					<0.001*
Lower	83	26 (92.9)	57 (50.9)		
Middle /Upper	57	2 (7.1)	55 (49.1)		
<b>Area of Residence</b>				16.565	<0.001
Rural	27	13 (46.4)	14 (12.5)		
Urban	113	15 (53.6)	98 (87.5)		
<b>Method of faecal waste disposal</b>				6.069	0.014
Water cistern or pit)	113	10(35.7)	17(15.2)		
Bush	27				
<b>Hand washing after toilet</b>					0.025*
Always	136	25(89.3)	111(99.1)		
None or rarely	4	3(10.7)	1(0.9)		
<b>Hand washing before food</b>					

<b>preparation</b>					<0.001*
Always	112	15(53.6)	97(86.6)		
None or rarely	28	13(46.4)	15(13.4)		
<b>Hand washing before eating</b>				6.117	0.013
Always	97	14(50.0)	83(74.1)		
None or rarely	43	14(50.0)	29(25.9)		
<b>Use of footwear</b>					<0.001*
Always	133	22(78.6)	111(99.1)		
None or rarely use	7	6(21.4)	1(0.9)		
<b>Boiling drinking water</b>					0.600*
Always	6	0(0.0)	6(5.4)		
None or rarely	134	28(100.0)	106(94.6)		
<b>Source of drinking water</b>					0.058*
Underground water		13(46.4)	74(66.1)		
+ Sachet water	87	12(42.9)	35(31.2)		
Shallow water	47	3(10.7)	3(2.7)		
Rain water	6				

\*=Fisher's Exact Test, IQR=Interquartile range, U=Mann-Whitney U-test, OR=Odd Ratio,

CI=Confidence Intervals, Underground water source include well, pipe-borne, sachet and bore-hole water, shallow water source include streams.

Next, logistic regression analysis was applied to test the independence of risk factors identified on bivariate analysis. Table IV. Of the independent variables that were significant at the bivariate analysis, only lower socio-economic class was a independent predictor of helminthic infestation (AOR = 6.403, 95% CI: 1.303 to 31.469)

**Table IV: Logistic regression of the independent predictors of infestation among study participants**

Variables	Wald	Adjusted Odds Ratio (AOR)	95% CI	p-value
<b>Socio-economic Status</b>				
Lower	5.223	6.403	1.303 – 31.469	0.022
Middle/Upper	Reference category			
<b>Area of Residence</b>				
Rural	0.966	1.894	0.530 – 6.765	0.326
Urban	Reference category			
<b>Hand Washing After Using the Toilet</b>				
None or rarely	2.641	7.924	0.653-96.160	0.104
Always	Reference category			
<b>Hand Washing Before Meal Preparation</b>				
None or rarely	3.694	3.079	0.978-9.693	0.055
Always	Reference category			

---

**Hand Washing Before****Feeding**

None or rarely	0.076	1.165	0.395-3.430	0.782
Always	Reference category			

**Use of Footwear**

None or rarely	3.236	9.237	0.819-104.116	0.072
Always	Reference category			

**Toilet Facility**

Bush	0.469	0.620	0.158-2.433	0.493
Water Cistern/Pit	Reference category			

---

Dependent variable = helminthic infestation, CI = Confidence Interval, AOR = Adjusted Odds Ratio

**Discussion**

Prevalence of socio-demographic characteristics was largely similar between helminth-infected and helminth-uninfected groups. A lower frequency of food shortage within the previous month was reported by helminth-infected persons. [12] With regard to the risk factors for infestation, the present study found similar socio-demographic and hygiene-related risk factors in HIV-positive and negative children. In other words, the vulnerability factors to helminthic infestation are similar irrespective of the HIV status. The implication is that universal preventive strategies for helminthic infestation in the general population will suffice for HIV-positive children. [12-14] Interestingly, of all the socio-demographic and hygiene-related risk factors studied, only lower socioeconomic class independently predicted helminthic infestation after adjusting for potential variables. Similar findings were also observed by Boatey et al [15] who noted that no variables that measured personal hygiene,

such as socioeconomic status such as income, employment, or housing conditions are predictive of helminth status. [15]

Using a Logistic regression analysis and odd ratios, a study has also shown no statistically significant findings on risk of infection and their educational levels, marital and employment statuses. [16-18] In the contrary, a reportage in Argentine and Brazilian study had shown that subjects who had spent time in a rural area were at increased risk of having helminths in their stool. [19-20] They also noted that factors such as diminished food supply and illiteracy were risk factor for helminth infection. These results seen above negates findings seen in other studies where a negative correlation between measures of socioeconomic status and risk of helminth acquisition was postulated. [20-23] Some studies had however explained that it is possible that subjects of lower socioeconomic status were more likely to be subjected to routine mass deworming than those from richer homes and thus present with low prevalence of helminthiasis.

This is understandable as socioeconomic class is a measure of parental education and occupation, which indirectly indicates economic advantage. In other words, individuals from lower economic class are widely exposed to indices of social disadvantage such as living in rural or urban slums, having little or no access to pipe borne water and adequate toilet facilities. [17-20] It is important to note that when our indices for hygienic status of HIV infested and controls were subjected to bi-variate analysis, only socioeconomic factors was seen as the only predictive value for risk factor.

This study has also shown that though socioeconomic status plays a major role in determining the risk of helminth infection in subjects and control, age and gender and residential area distributions were similar between infested HIV-positive and HIV-negative children when hygiene-related practices is taken into consideration. It is noted in this study

that hygienic practices such as method of fecal disposal, hand washing practices and foot wear practices were significantly association with helminthic infestation among children with HIV infection. Uhaegbu [23] et al also documented the same finding. Lack of sanitary facilities and lack of health education are notable factors that facilitate the transmission of intestinal parasites, especially among HIV patients.

## Conclusion

Comment [i-[6]: Conclusion is too short

Socio-demographic and hygiene related risk factors are similar in HIV-positive and negative children. However, lower socioeconomic status is an independent predictor of helminthic intestinal infestation after controlling for potential confounders.

## References

1. Babatunde SK, Adedayo MR, Ajiboye AE, Sunday O, Ameen N. Soil-transmitted helminth infections among school children in rural communities of Moro Local Government Area, Kwara State, Nigeria. *Afr J Microbiol Re.* 2013;**7**:5148-53.
2. Ohiolei AJ, Isaac C, Omorodion OA. A review of soil transmitted helminthiasis in Nigeria. *Asian Pac J Trop Dis.* 2017;**7**:930-37.
3. Aniwada E, Uleanya D, Igbokwe L, Onwasigwe C. Soil Transmitted Helminths; Prevalence, Perception and Determinants among Primary School Children in Rural Enugu State, Nigeria. *Int J Trop Dis & Hth.* 2016;**15**:1-12.
4. Orji L, Onyire B, Ibe B, Ibekwe R. Prevalence and Intensity of Helminth Infection in Human Immunodeficiency Virus Infected Children in Abakaliki, Ebonyi State, Southeast, Nigeria. *IOSR-JDMS.* 2017;**16**:81-6.
5. World Health Organization. Soil-transmitted helminth infections . Obtainable from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/soil-transmitted-helminth-infections>

6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Parasites – Soil-transmitted helminths.

Obtainable from from:6. <https://www.cdc.gov/parasites/sth/index.html>

7. Çeliksöz A, Güler N, Güler G, Öztop AY, Degerli S. Prevalence of intestinal parasites in three socioeconomically-different regions of Sivas, Turkey. *J Health Popul Nutr* 2022;;23(2):184–191.

8. Woh PY, Lin Thong K, Behnke JM, Lewis JW, Nursheena S, Zain M. Evaluation of basic knowledge on food safety and food handling practices amongst migrant food handlers in Peninsular Malaysia. *Food Control*. 2016;70:64–73.

9. Okyay, P., Ertug, S., Gultekin, B., Onen, O. and Beser, E.. Intestinal parasites prevalence and related factors in school children, a Western city sample - Turkey. *BMC Pub. Health* 2004; 22: 4:64.

10. Ostan, I., Kilimcioglu, A.A., Girginkardester, N., Özyurt, C.B., Limoncu, E.M. and Ok Z.U. (2007). Health inequalities: lower socio-economic conditions and higher incidences of intestinal parasites. *BMC Pub. Health*; 27(7): 342.

11. Modjarrad K, Zulu I, Redden DT, Njobvu L, Freedman DO, Vermund SH. Prevalence and predictors of intestinal helminth infections among human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected adults in an urban African setting. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2005 Oct;73(4):777-82.

12. Akinbo FO, C. E. Okaka, and R. Omoregie, “Prevalence of intestinal parasitic infections among HIV patients in Benin City, Nigeria,” *Libyan Journal of Medicine*, vol. 5, no. 1, Article ID 5506, 2010. View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar J.

13. Botero-Garcés, E. Villegas-Arbeláez, S. Giraldo et al., “Prevalence of intestinal parasites in a cohort of HIV-infected patients from Antioquia, Colombia,” *Biomedica*, vol. 41, no. Sp. 2, pp. 153–164, 2021. View at: [Publisher Site](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

14. E. Alemayehu, A. Gedefie, A. Adamu et al., “Intestinal parasitic infections among HIV-infected patients on antiretroviral therapy attending debretabor general hospital, Northern Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study,” *HIV*, vol. 12, pp. 647–655, 2020.

15. Boaitay YA, Nkrumah B, Idriss A, Tay SK. Gastrointestinal and urinary tract pathogenic infections among HIV seropositive patients at the Komfo Anokye teaching hospital in Ghana,” *BMC Research Notes*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 454–455, 2012.

Volume 2022 | Article ID 5652637 | <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/5652637>

16. Pezzani BC, Minvielle MC, De Luca MM, Radman N, Iacoy P, Basualdo Farjat JA. Intestinal parasite infections in a periurban community from the province of Buenos Aires, Argentina. *Bol Chil Parasitol*. 1996;51:42–45. [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

17. Enk MJ, Amorim A, Schall VT. Acute schistosomiasis outbreak in the metropolitan area of Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais: alert about the risk of unnoticed transmission increased by growing rural tourism. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz*. 2003;98:745–750.

18. Olsen A, Samuelsen H, Onyango-Ouma W. A study of risk factors for intestinal helminth infections using epidemiological and anthropological approaches. *J Biosoc Sci*. 2001;33:569–584.

19. Tshikuka JG, Scott ME, Gray-Donald K. *Ascaris lumbricoides* infection and environmental risk factors in an urban African setting. *Ann Trop Med Parasitol*. 1995;89:505–514.

20. Gopinath R, Ostrowski M, Justement SJ, Fauci AS, Nutman TB. Filarial infections increase susceptibility to human immunodeficiency virus infection in peripheral blood mononuclear cells in vitro. *J Infect Dis.* 2000;182:1804–1808.
21. Bärnighausen T, Tanser F, Herbst K, Mutevedzi T, Mossong J, Newell ML. Structural barriers to antiretroviral treatment: A study using population-based CD4 cell count and linked antiretroviral treatment programme data. *Lancet.* 2013;382:S5 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(13\)62253-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)62253-9)
22. Abe EM, Echeta OC, Ombugadu A, Ajah L, Aimankhu PO, Oluwole AS. Helminthiasis among School-Age Children and Hygiene Conditions of Selected Schools in Lafia, Nasarawa State, Nigeria. *Trop Med Infect Dis.* 2019 Jul 29;4(3):112.
23. Uhegbu K, Uhegbu VM, Eyong K, Ikobah JM, Ekanem EE. Helminthic infestation in children aged 6 to 59 months with diarrhea in Calabar. *Niger J Med* 2021; 30:433-45.