

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_111913
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of different vesicular - arbuscular mycorrhizal (VAM) fungi on the seedling growth of Tecomella undulata
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The research topic is of great importance, studying the beneficial interactions established between mycorrhizal-forming fungi with some plants and the application of these generates great interest due to the potential and because these soil microorganisms are considered a fundamental tool in sustainable and agroecological agriculture. Developing biofertilizers with native strains of plant-promoting microorganisms, such as mycorrhizal fungi, is considered an important strategy to control diseases and improve the agronomic performance of crops. 2. It is appropriate. 3. The summary is understandable. 4. The structure of the work is correct. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. Bibliographic references are adequate. 7. In the Material and Method section, the statistical analysis carried out, the variables to be analyzed and the techniques used in data collection must be indicated. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The language used is appropriate and technically correct.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In the materials and methods section, it would be convenient to indicate how the data were statistically analyzed, including the statistical program used. - Do not use as keywords those that are already present in the title. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	María Gabriela Di Barbaro
Department, University & Country	National University of Catamarca, Argentina