

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_112201
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on fresh and cryopreserved seminal attributes in Beetal bucks outside their breeding tract
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	the manuscript is important for the scientific community because the manuscript can contribute to informed the quality of fresh semen and Cryopreserved semen in Beetal bucks	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	In my opinion the title of the article is suitable. Because the title captures the main focus of this research	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes, because the abstract summarizes the key points, well structured and provides a comprehensive overview of the research	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Yes the manuscript is scientifically correct	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes, the reference are sufficient and recent	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the english quality of the article are suitable for scholar communication	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Langgeng Priyanto
Department, University & Country	Sriwijaya University, Indonesia