

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_111610
Title of the Manuscript:	Water Cost Differences Due to Regional and Seasonal Differentiation in Taiwan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, water is a fundamental resource on the Earth. It is needed for household consumption, agriculture, and industry. The water demand continues to increase with the growth of the population.</p> <p>2. Yes the title of the article is suitable</p> <p>3. No, the abstract does not present the research methodology. Suggestions have been given on the attached manuscript-Reviewer comments to improve the abstract.</p> <p>4. No, recommendations have been given on the attached manuscript-Reviewer comments to improve the sub-sections, and numbering them.</p> <p>5. Yes, Nonetheless, throughout this paper, the majority of statements were given without any supporting references, this is the reason why the references of this research work is very poor and not consistent.</p> <p>5. No. Author must use references available in the literature to support the statements. The citations in the text and under reference section must follow the journal guidelines.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Provide a list of abbreviations and symbols used in the manuscript. - Remove Fig 8 in recommendation section, and place the figure in the body of the manuscript. - Remove the Equation in the recommendation section - The research methodology must be presented in the Abstract section - The research methodology section must be placed just before Section II Analyses and Results - Please to update the sub-sections as specified in the attached manuscript-Reviewer comments. - Table 1,2, and 3 must be put in Appendix - Figures must be references - Figures 3, 4, 5, and 7 are not clear and not readable, their qualities must be improved. - Please do not use a coma (,) and a dot (.) simultaneously in the number. Make use of 2001.53 or 2001, 53 not both. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of English must be improved (Language editing)</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>All the corrections reported by the reviewer in this review form and the attached manuscript reviewer comments must be implemented to improve the quality of the manuscript and make it suitable for publication</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Armand Augustin Fondjo Takoukam
Department, University & Country	Central University of Technology, South Africa