

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_112091
Title of the Manuscript:	Perceived Organizational Support as Mediation of Work Engagement and Self-Efficacy on Employee Performance
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>It fills a substantial gap in current research on employee performance in the government agency sector. Since these factors haven't been thoroughly examined in this context, the study's attention on job engagement, self-efficacy, and perceived organizational support as important factors impacting employee performance is valuable.</p> <p>The primary study topic and findings are succinctly stated in the title.</p> <p>Overall, the manuscript's main ideas are succinctly outlined in the abstract. It may be made much more effective and instructive with a few small adjustments.</p> <p>It is scientifically appropriate and a researcher is experienced.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The research design is supported by the references, which appear to address the key theoretical ideas.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The paper is written in good English and is perfect for the scientific community.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The introduction can be extended more as it does not support and explain research problem completely.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jawaria Ashraf
Department, University & Country	University of Karachi, Pakistan