

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_110942
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF GENETIC VARIABILITY, HERITABILITY AND GENETIC ADVANCE AMONG THE CHARACTERS OF SPONGE GOURD (<i>Luffa cylindrica</i> L. Roem)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is relevant for the scientific community and give important results allowing researchers getting to further research topics.</p> <p>The title is correct.</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive and resumes the most important issues of the paper. However key words should be included.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Some more recent references should be included for discussion. For example: Genetic diversity and population structure detection in sponge gourd (<i>Luffa cylindrica</i>) using ISSR, SCoT and morphological markers. Ruchi Tyagi, et al.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>English quality is suitable for scholarly communications. However, writing should be revised, there are some grammatical errors which might be corrected.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The description of the tables is poor, the authors should include statistical method used, the level of statistical significance, etc. Materials such as morphological traits, should be included in a table for a better understanding.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aymée Robainas Barcia
Department, University & Country	University of Havana, Cuba