

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Hepatology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRHE_109774
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of chronic toxicological effects of 2, 2-dichlorovinyl dimethyl phosphate (Sniper) in the vitreous humor liver function parameters of New Zealand white rabbits
Type of the Article	original research article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript provides a valuable review to understand the potential hepatotoxic effects of dichlorvos; however, it has specific limitations. Further research and more comprehensive studies are needed for the generalizability and translatability of the findings. Overall, the study establishes a foundation for understanding the effects of dichlorvos on liver functions, but it is essential to demonstrate this molecularly (through techniques such as western blot or immunohistochemistry) and histopathologically in the liver tissue.</p> <p>The title of the article is appropriate. The abstract of the article is comprehensive. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are not appropriate. The references sufficient and recent</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The language/English quality of the article is not suitable for scientific communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Durmus Hatipoglu
Department, University & Country	Selcuk University, Turkey