

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Gastroenterology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRGA_110878
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF OUTCOMES OF PATIENTS WITH HEPATITIS C VIRUS-RELATED CIRRHOSIS AND THOSE WITH HEPATITIS C VIRUS-RELATED HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Yes, it has an importance for the accumulation of knowledge about liver diseases.</p> <p>2- Yes, the title is good and suitable</p> <p>3- The abstract is good but the abbreviations included have to be written in complete words for the first time</p> <p>4- To some what the structure of the manuscript is appropriate but I think that the materials and methods section needs to be more arranged and clear for the methods used in the different analysis done, also the source of the chemicals used</p> <p>5- Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6- The references used need to be more updated</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p> <p>The statistical analysis is not clear in the tables presented</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ahmed Hanafy Ismail Awad</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Jazan University, Egypt</b>