

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_112111
Title of the Manuscript:	CLINICAL APPLICATION AND EVALUATION OF SPECIALISED RING FIXATORS FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF UPPER AND LOWER LIMB BONE FRACTURES IN DOGS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This study evaluate the feasibility and the usefulness of the ring fixators on dogs with limb fractures. Authors have evaluated 09 cases.</p> <p>This study design should be a case series rather than original paper.</p> <p>The abstract is the face of an article. It should represent the whole paper and attractive to readers. Abstract should be re-written and it should comprise some words from Introduction to conclusion. Yes. But, there are no any details of the ERC approval statement with the number of the approval be included in the methods. The conclusion should have some scientific value.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. Kalaventhana
Department, University & Country	University of Colombo, Sri Lanka